

## Math 623 Exam 1 Solutions

1. Suppose that  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  has RREF of  $I_n$ . Prove that  $A$  may be written as the product of elementary matrices.

See Ex. 0.18. We put  $A$  into RREF using elementary matrices  $E_1, \dots, E_k$ ; i.e.  $I = E_k E_{k-1} \cdots E_2 E_1 A$ . We then multiply both sides by the same matrix (repeatedly) to get  $E_1^{-1} E_2^{-1} \cdots E_{k-1}^{-1} E_k^{-1} = A$ . The last observation we need is that the inverse of an elementary matrix is elementary, so in fact  $E_1^{-1}, \dots, E_k^{-1}$  are each elementary matrices.

2. Let  $J \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  be the matrix all of whose entries are 1. Find  $\sigma(J)$ , and for each eigenvalue find a basis for the corresponding eigenspace.

See Ex 1.1.5. Set  $e = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ ; we have  $Je = ne$ , so  $(n, e)$  is an eigenvalue-eigenvector pair. Set  $x_i = e - ne_i$ ; we have  $Jx_i = 0 = 0x_i$ , so  $(0, x_i)$  is an eigenvalue-eigenvector pair. However  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  is too big (it is dependent, since the sum is zero). Any subset of size  $n - 1$  will be a basis for the eigenspace corresponding to eigenvalue 0. Note: there are no other eigenvalues since the ones we have found already have total multiplicity  $n$ .

3. Give an example of a matrix  $M \in M_3(\mathbb{C})$  that is diagonalizable but not diagonal, and has fewer than 3 distinct eigenvalues.

See Ex. 1.3.9. The simplest approach is to start with a diagonal matrix  $\Lambda$ , then calculate  $S\Lambda S^{-1}$  – this is guaranteed to be diagonalizable. We can try something like  $\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $S^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , which yields  $M = S\Lambda S^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

4. Calculate the adjugate and eigenvalues of  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

See Ex. 0.31. This is a straightforward computation;  $\text{adj} A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -4 \\ -2 & -2 & 8 \\ 1 & 1 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\sigma(B) = \{-1, 0, 5\}$ .

5. Set  $P_3(t)$  to be the set of all polynomials of degree at most 3, in variable  $t$ , with real coefficients. Find the rank and nullity of linear transformation  $T : P_3(t) \rightarrow P_3(t)$  given by  $T(f(t)) = t \frac{df(t)}{dt}$ .

See Ex. 0.10. A basis for  $P_3(t)$  is  $\{1, t, t^2, t^3\}$ , and we calculate  $T(1) = 0, T(t) = t, T(t^2) = 2t^2, T(t^3) = 3t^3$ . Hence the range of  $T$  is spanned by  $\{t, 2t^2, 3t^3\}$ ; these are clearly linearly independent, hence the rank of  $T$  is 3. By the rank-nullity theorem, the rank plus the nullity is the dimension of  $P_3(t)$ , namely 4. Hence the nullity of  $T$  is 1.

6. A matrix  $A \in M_3(\mathbb{C})$  is a *square root* of  $B$  if  $A^2 = B$ . Prove that every diagonalizable  $B \in M_3(\mathbb{C})$  has a square root.

See 1.3.P7. Suppose  $B$  is diagonalizable; then there is invertible  $S$  where  $B = SDS^{-1}$ , where  $D = \text{diag}(a, b, c)$ . Now, set  $E = \text{diag}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b}, \sqrt{c})$  (choose either square root if ambiguous), and  $A = SES^{-1}$ . We calculate  $A^2 = SES^{-1}SES^{-1} = SE^2S^{-1} = SDS^{-1} = B$ .

[Note:  $\mathbb{C}$  is necessary, else we might not be able to take square roots.]

7. Let  $A \in M_3(\mathbb{C})$  be skew-symmetric. Prove that  $P_A(t) = -P_A(-t)$ , and that if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ , so is  $-\lambda$ .

See 1.4.P2. Since  $A$  is skew-symmetric, we have  $A = -A^T$ . We calculate  $P_A(t) = \det(tI - A) = \det(tI - (-A^T)) = (-1)^3 \det(-tI - A^T) = -\det((-tI - A^T)^T) = -\det(-tI - A) = -P_A(-t)$ . Suppose now that  $(\lambda, x)$  is a (right) eigenvalue-eigenvector pair for  $A$ . Then  $Ax = \lambda x$ . We take transposes to get  $x^T A^T = \lambda x^T$ , then negate to get  $x^T (-A^T) = (-\lambda)x^T$  or  $x^T A = (-\lambda)x^T$ . Hence  $(-\lambda, x)$  is a (left) eigenvalue-eigenvector pair for  $A$ , and hence  $-\lambda$  is an eigenvalue for  $A$ .